



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,313	10/22/2001	Francis J. Maguire JR.	313-002-3	7746	
75	7590 12/20/2005			EXAMINER	
Francis J. Maguire			LUU, MATTHEW		
Ware, Fressola,	Van Der Sluys & Adolp	hson LLP			
755 Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 224			3663		
Monroe, CT 06468			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/038,313	MAGUIRE, FRANCIS J.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		LUU MATTHEW	3663
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING D	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the E	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.
12) a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/038,313

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 5,422,653. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-29 of the present invention are broader than claims 1-32 of the U.S. Patent No. 5,422,653.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,313

Art Unit: 3663

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Milgram et al (5,175,616).

Regarding claim 1, Milgram et al disclose (Figs. 1, 7 and 8) a method, comprising the steps of:

receiving an image signal (pointer image 371), and

providing, in response to the image signal, a mixed image signal (Fig. 1, a composite image signal 23) for providing simulated active percepts for passive perception (Fig. 7 shows the simulated scene (simulated active percepts) is being viewed through a pair of stereoscopic video cameras 14 and 16 (passive perception). See column 8, lines 6-11 and 44-46.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a "passive viewer" means one whose body, head or visual axis may analogously follow the body, head or visual axis of an observer by following simulated active percepts (Page 4)) are not recited in the rejected claim 1. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,313

Art Unit: 3663

Applicant further argues that Milgram et al does not disclose a "mixed image". However, examiner respectfully disagrees.

Milgram et al clearly teaches "In accordance with the present invention, this is achieved by providing a method and an apparatus which synchronously <u>superimposes</u> a virtual, stereographic graphic pointer video signal onto the video signal of a remote environment so as to allow the two signals to be displayed together as a single <u>combined</u> video signal on a single viewing screen" (Column 4, lines 3-9).

Therefore, based on the above teachings, the superimposed image or the combined image is the claimed "mixed image". See also column 8, lines 6-11 and 44-46.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a "mixed image" as define in the specification... comprising one or more images of simulated active percepts <a href="https://paper.org/having.areas.org

Art Unit: 3663

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUU MATTHEW whose telephone number is (571) 272-7663. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JACK KEITH can be reached on (571) 272-7663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Luu

MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5